(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR **SINGLE BENCH**

Writ Petition NO. 3063 /2006

SERVICE MATTER

(SINGLE BENCH)

PETITIONER : **JETHURAM DHRUV**
aged about 64 years
S/o Late Kansu Ram Dhruv
Ex. Assistant Teacher (Science)
Government Higher Secondary School
Village Jarve,
Block Development Palari
Tahsil Palari, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 31/10/06
Presented by Shri YCS Tamrakar
22/5/06

28 MAY 2006

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. **STATE OF CHHATTISGARH**
Through Principal Secretary
School Education Department
Government of Chhattisgarh
Mantralaya
Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan
G.E. Road, Raipur (C.G.)

2. **DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER**
Raipur (C.G.)

3. **PRINCIPAL**
Govt. Higher Secondary School
Village Jarve
Tahsil Palari, District Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
C.G. H.A. G. BILASPUR
12/6/06

4

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER**

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.3063/06 **सन् 200**

23

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक [illegible] आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

29-6-2006:-

Shri V.G. Tamaskar, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents No.1, 2 and 3, on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

The main grievance of the petitioner in this writ petition is that he was working as Assistant Teacher till he attained the age of superannuation on 31-7-2004 on which date he demitted the office. On completion of 12 years service, the petitioner was given the benefit of Career Promotion Scheme and was granted higher pay scale vide order Annexure-P/1 dated 9-12-98 but till retirement he was not given the benefit of that pay scale.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is regularly making representations but respondent No.2 is not considering and deciding his representations, therefore, he submitted that respondent No.2 be directed to decide representation to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable, therefore, respondent No.2 is directed to decide representation of the petitioner within a period of 2 months in an objective manner and pass appropriate order in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, I.A. No.6150/2006 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge

Barwel 6/11